
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,326	SANDULEANU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Wilson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jean B Corrielus/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/599,326

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agrees to amend claim 9 and 10 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment so as to properly address the 112 rejection raised in the alst office action. Such amendment is necessary in order to place the application in better form for allowance.